

(12)

Honorable Gordon H. Scherer
House of Representatives
Washington 25, D.C.

Dear Mr. Scherer:

In your letter of 24 August you forwarded a copy of a letter from Mrs. Catherine Carter Golden of Cincinnati in which she discussed the subject of unidentified flying objects. Mrs. Golden seemed particularly interested in the pictures taken in Brazil and shown on the Dave Garroway show.

The photograph referred to by Mrs. Golden was taken by a free-lance photographer. Contrary to her information, this photograph was not developed in the presence of persons other than the photographer.

Mrs. Golden has corresponded with the Department of State and the Air Force for some time on the general subject of unidentified flying objects. The Department of the Air Force has primary responsibility for investigating information pertaining to UFO's. Mrs. Golden recently contacted the State Department on the subject of the alleged Brazilian sighting and her query was referred to the Department of the Air Force whose reply is attached. This Agency has no information other than that which has already been provided the Air Force on this subject.

Sincerely,

SIGMUND

John S. Warner
Legislative Counsel

Enclosure

Distribution:
Orig & 1 - Addressee

1 - OSI

1 - Leg. Counsel - subject

1 - Leg. Counsel - chrono

Approved for Release

2/20/10

+2

(4)

Mr. Raymond Davis
Johns Hopkins University
Baltimore, Maryland

Dear Mr. Davis

I am still unable to communicate the confidential information concerning the unclassified flying objects with Drs. E. P. Thompson, or G. Johnson, General Chairman, 1953 N. American, Harry V. Barnes, and myself as we desire. After the deliberations, the Panel reached two conclusions and made two recommendations which were included in Tab A of the report. Very recently, the Air Force has suggested that the conclusions of this report be disseminated so that they may be used in the press. A copy of the report is enclosed herewith for your information.

I have discussed this matter with Dr. Thompson and Dr. Cooksey who agree that the conclusions mentioned in paragraphs 2 and 3 of the recommendations contained in your note to can be disseminated. That, then, as well as this finding, will not serve as a recommendation to the conclusions suggested in Tab A of the recommendation in paragraph 4. It is our finding that the association of the Panel with this Agency should not be disclosed; that paragraph 2 will be rewritten to eliminate this association; and that the entire six lines of paragraph 4 can stand as written.

I have queried the Air Force as to whether the names of the Panel members would be used. They have replied that names could be used only within material on the Air Force which would not be given to the press. But, as noted above, since there is a tendency at times to follow suit, it should be recommended that, if it is deemed fit to give the names, they may well become public knowledge.

It would be very much appreciated if you could let us know
as to the present day last year's statement to the State Board
concerning the possible holding you agree with the following:
The last and final statement of the Board of Education, the members
you stated above or changes in the use of your Board, or any
Agency connection with the Board is available.

Enclosed,

Replies to State
Board Education Question

Enclosed
WPA Report (names)